IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.276 OF 2006

Dhiraj B.Damania .. Petitioner.
Vs.
Ms.Shital Thakkar & Anr. .. Respondents.

Mr.J.A.Khan for the petitioner.
Mr.Vijay A.Dhadam for respondent no.1.
Mrs.M.H.Mhatre A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 18TH JULY, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for th petitioner and respondent no.1 and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Rule. By consent rule is made returnable forthwith. The revision is taken up for final hearing.

3. The wife of the petitioner and constituted power of attorney of respondent no.1 - complainant are present in the court. The power of attorney of the complainant is his father. The learned counsel appearing for the parties have tendered the minutes of order duly signed by the learned advocates on record as also by the said power of attorney of respondent no.1. The minutes of order are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The criminal revision

application is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the minutes of order. Liberty to respondent no.1 to withdraw the sum of Rs.75,000/- as stated in paragraph 2 of the minutes of order is granted. In view of the order passed in terms of minutes, the petitioner be set at liberty forthwith if not required in any other case.

. Rule is, accordingly, disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)